

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,245	12/15/2003	Toshihisa Nihei	117404	2276
25944	7590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDGE, PLC		SCHWARTZ, CH	HRISTOPHER P
	RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/200.	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/734,245	NIHEI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All _ b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1

6) Other: _

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements have been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano '105 in view of Hirao et al. '909.

Regarding claim 1 Sano discloses a brake apparatus that uses target and actual wheel slip rates and target and actual yaw rates to control the braking stability of the vehicle. Please see cols. 1 and 2 and cols. 15-19. Note the target slip rate correction

Art Unit: 3683

process discussed in col. 2 and the yaw rate deviation calculation methods discussed in cols. 13+.

Sano lacks a specific discussion of making a first correction to the target slip rate such the he actual yaw rate of the vehicle matches a target yaw rate...

However it is know to make such "corrections" due to changing road surface conditions.

The reference to Hirao et al. is relied upon to provide a better teaching of this known concept as discussed in cols 1-5.

It would have been obvious to have incorporated the teachings of Hirao et al. '909 into Sano '105 simply as one well known alternative method of using target and actual slip/yaw rates to provide stability control through the adjustment of the braking forces (i.e. longitudinal forces) applied to the individual wheels to compensate for sudden changes in road surface conditions, encountered thereby, when the vehicle is in, or goes into, ABS/Stability control mode.

The limitations of claims 2-20 are fairly suggested by the combined references above and what is known in the art.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited but not applied should be reviewed for what is <u>well known</u> in the art before preparing a response to the action above. In particular please see the references to Nakamura, Koibuchi, Tachihata et al., Yamashita, and Shimada et al.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 2/16/05